

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/936,308	11/20/2001	Bengt Liljedahl	1291-0192P	2913
2292	7590 11/08/2004	•	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			GRANT, ALVIN J	
	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/936,308	LILJEDAHL, BENGT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alvin J Grant	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r a reply within the statutory minimum of thin ariod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	4 June 2004.					
· _ ·	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-10</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to		· ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C. &	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/27/04</u>. 	3/08) 5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10, 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson '038 in view of Werth '565.

Larson discloses an accessory for a power tool, the accessory comprising: a mounting part for mounting to the surface (column 2, lines 1-4), an input shaft contained in the mounting part for coupling with an output shaft, a transmission connected to the input shaft for transferring movement of the output shaft to drive the accessory, a fork-shaped unit having two opposite sides and containing the transmission, a roller (10) having a working surface having opposite ends mounted in opposite legs of the fork-shaped unit and connected to the transmission for receiving power therefrom; and a prolongation unit including a first end having a mounting part similar to the mounting part of the fork-shaped unit and an opposite second end similar to the output side of a driving machine for which the accessory is intended (17), and an intermediate shaft (27) between the first and second ends (See Fig. 1). Larson does not specifically disclose a power tool having an output shaft having a direction substantially perpendicular to the rotary axis of the roller. Werth discloses a sanding machine in which a power tool containing an output shaft that is substantially perpendicular to the rotary axis of the sander, so as to transfer movement to the output shaft of the sander. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the apparatus of Larson to connect thereto a power tool as taught by Werth so as to transfer movement to the output shaft.

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson in view of Werth and in further view of Ichikawa '694.

Larson as modified is described above. The modified Larson does not specifically disclose toothed wheels or a toothed driving belt. Ichikawa discloses a first toothed wheel rigidly attached to an input shaft, a second toothed wheel rigidly attached to the driving shaft and a toothed driving belt for transmitting power from the drive shaft to the roller (Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the apparatus of Larson et al to have a first toothed wheel rigidly attached to an input shaft, a second toothed wheel rigidly attached to the driving shaft and a toothed driving belt as taught by Ichikawa so as to transmit power from the drive shaft to the roller.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson in view of Werth and Ichikawa and in further view of Evensen '197.

Larson as modified is described above. The modified Larson does not specifically disclose a working cloth spirally wrapped around the working surface of the roller. Evensen discloses an apparatus comprising a working cloth spirally wrapped around the working surface of the roller (Fig. 7, # 62) so maximize the strength of the bonding of the cloth to the surface. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the apparatus of Ichikawa to have a working cloth spirally wrapped around the working surface of the roller as taught by Evensen so as to maximize the strength of the bonding of the cloth to the surface.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3723

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Grant whose telephone number is (703) 305-3315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Joseph J Hail can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this
application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from
either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through
Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should
you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)
at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ajg

Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700